

Введенский. Erregung, Hemmung u. Narkose. Pflüg. Arch. 100, 1903.—13) А. Ухтомский. Перибоз и доминанта. Изд. ком. Акад. Москва 1927.—14) Lucas K. On the transference of the propagated disturbance и т. д. J. of Physiol 43, 1911.—15) Adrian E. Wedensky inhibition in relation to the all or none principle in nerve. J. of Phys. 1913.—16) Fröhlich, F. Med.-naturw. Arch. 1907.—17) Tie demann A. Z. f. allg. Physiol. 10, 1910.—18) Hoffmann P. Arch. f. Anat. u. Phys. 1910.—19) Veszi J. Z. f. allg. Physiol. 11, 1910.—20) Haastert. Z. allg. Physiol. 17, 1918.—21) Lucas K. (Adrian). The conduction of nervous impulse. London. 1917.—22) Brücke E. Z. f. Biol. 77, 1922.—23) И. Беритов. Z. f. Biol. 62, 1913, ib. 64, 1914, ib. 80, 1924. Так же. Учение об основ. элем. 1916. 118—133 стр.—24) А. Ф. Самойлов. О переходе возбуждения с двигательного нерва на мышцу. Сбор. 75 л. И. П. Павлова 1925, Pfl. Arch. 1925.—25) Sherrington, C. «Remarks on some aspects of reflex inhibition» Proc. Roy. Soc., vol. 97 В pp. 519—543.—26) А. Самойлов и М. Киселев. Pflüg. Arch. 215 В, 1927, Журнал эксп. биол. мед. № 15, 1927.—27) Kato, G. «The theory of decrementless conduction in narcotized region of nerve» Tokyo, Nankōdō 1924; «The further studies on decrementless conduction» ibid, 1926.—28) Ballif, Fulton, Liddell. Proc. of the roy. soc. of London, Ser. B, 98, 1925.—29) A. Samojloff. A small string galvanometer arranged as a signal apparatus. Quart Journ. Phys. 1915.—30) Fulton, Muscular Contraction, Baltimore, 1926.

---

From the Physiological Laboratory of the Physico-mathematical Faculty of the Kasan University.

**With Reference to Central Block Processes.** By Kisseelev M. The author performed experiments with decerebrumized by Sherrington's method cats. By the irritation of the ipsilateral n. peronei a flexible reflex of the m. semitendinosus was attained, while the irritation of the contralateral n. peronei blocked it. The summation of the blocks was proved by the experiments: an accumulation of the «block substance» and its gradual disappearance An explanation of an exceptional case of the «afters block exaltation» was submitted. The feasibility of the block influence, when the stimulating irritation preceded that of the block by thousands parts of a second, was finally ascertained by experiments with two separate inductional shocks, of which one caused a depression and the other an excitement. Moreover by juxtaposing the provisional relations a direct way was supposed to exist from the block impulses toward the last or last but one motive neuron of a given reflex, where their conflict with irritations takes place.

---